# From Monetary Union to Differentiated Integration in Europe

23rd Annual Conference on Alternative
Economic Policy in Europe
Jacques Mazier, Pascal Petit, Dominique Plihon
Université Paris 13 Sorbonne Paris Cité

#### Overview of the presentation

I/ The trap of the single currency

II/ The limits of the binary logic: either more integration or disintegration of Europe

#### I/ The trap of the single currency

- The EMU: incomplete and incoherent monetary system
- Far from protecting the country members (MC) from the crisis, the EMU exacerbated real exchange rate misalignments and imbalances among CM
- Disequilibria caused by structural heterogeneity among CM cannot be solved by wage deflation and austerity policies

#### I/ The trap of the single currency 2

- Limited impact of the Juncker Plan on investment and growth in the EU
- The eurozone growth regime is not sustainable in the long run
- Persistence of this growth regime explained by the interests of Northern CM and the European elites

- Limits of the return to the Nation States
   system advocated by right-wing movements :
  - High economic and political cost of disintegration (e.g. the Brexit)
  - They stick to the neoliberal paradigm proposing policies which will not solve social problems
  - They undersestimate the changes in the relationsships between Nation States caused by globalisation

- 3 reasons why a « more Europe » scenario is unrealistic today :
  - Polls show that a majority of citizens are in favor of a moratorium on the integration process
  - The State remains the dominant form of organizing European societies
  - The political, social and economic heterogeneity of country members

- We need to overcome this binary logic
- The question is: how politics in CM can come to an agreement on how to proceed to solve the current European desdend?
- A ternary scheme (see Aglietta&Leron, 2017, clearly and usefully exposed in John Grahl Euromemo working paper n°1) can be used for this purpose :
  - **Polity**: institutional setting: who rules, in wich manner?
  - **Policies**: measures taken by those in charge
  - Politics: democratic debate about citizens' expectations

- « policies » are implemented in the EU, but there are shortcomings regarding « polity » and « politics » => inability to develop the « political » base of European institutions (« polity »)
- 3 examples of these **shortcomings**:
  - Expansionist juridical order of the EU (widening range of action of the ECJ constraining CM policies)
  - Weakness of the European Parliament
  - Weakness (absence) of European political parties
- => proposal: launch accross CM a political process that would lead to a convention able to modify the « polity » of the EU

- Differentiated integration (DI) = a third approach between the return to a Nation States system and a deeper integration (Peter Wahl, 2016)
- DI based on the respect of plurality & diversity of CM
- DI = a mix of selective integration and disintegration, organized along principles such as decentralization, regionalization, and subsidiarity

- DI would put an end to the principle of unity at any price at the lowest common denominator
- DI would give the opportunity to break up with neoliberal policies and to allow CM to opt out from austerity policies and unfair competition policies
- DI is more realistic than it seems :
  - It already exists: Eurozone, Schengen agreement,
     Nato, Visegrad group, ...
  - Enhanced Cooperation Procedure (ECP) = embryonic form of DI

- What are the prerequisites for a DI to find enough support and be realistically feasible ?:
- P1) its objects have to make sense/to be a growing concern/issue in political terms for each member states, and thus can emerge at the European level All of this will develop EU « politics »
- P2) the efforts/contributions of each country will for each scheme be in proportion of its relative wealth, allowing also for differences in maturity of the issues at stake ..and accounting for learning by doing processes

This is a key issue for EU polity

- With these prerequisites:
- P1 will ensure that the politics in CM will be interested by the schemes under view,
- P2 will ensure the fairness of the schemes seen at the EU level
- Consequently these schemes will have an echo/impact on politics at the EU level which will favour the containment of the juridiciarisation trend and open the way to reappraisal of EU policies...
- .....which is the objective and which makes such schemes realistic and feasible

- A major domain of action for developing DI is:
   the ecological and social transition
- Prerequisites P1 and P2 are key for a such a process to be successful
- The **bottom up process** is also crucial; it implies a major role given to social & citizen movements
- A pragmatic compromise based on a European investment program, could then lead to an increased EU budget, with fair contributions (P2), starting eventually by taxation (carbon tax, FTT) implemented by enhanced through ECP

- The need to solve the problem of persistently misaligned European exchange rates raises the question of reforming the exchange rate regime (Mazier&Valdecentos, 2015).
- The development of the previous compromise could be consistent with :
- Multiple euros system
- Two monetary zones : North & South eurozones
- Bancor system

 The DI scenario for social & ecological transition would also require a change in the conduct of monetary policy such as a move towards a reorganization of the existing European system of central banks, with more autonomy given to national central banks, to adapt monetary and finance policy to regional situations

#### Conclusion

- Unlocking politics at the EU level through a DI approach may take time and give way to a lot of wheeling and dealing in the name of fairness (P2);
- But it clearly shows how a cumulative path can be opened towards a "sustainable EU" (environmentally, socially and economically)